



Climate, environment and circular economy

Metal recycling

Copper, cobalt, lithium... we are set to be increasingly reliant on metals due to the large-scale roll-out of renewable energies and new forms of mobility. According to economist Emmanuel Hache, who heads the [ANR GENERATE project](#), this reliance could lead to shifts in the balances of power and even growing tensions on the international stage.

- Metals: a few definitions
- Metals and renewable energies
- What is a critical or strategic metal?
- Which metals are the most under threat?
- Recycling of metals, and why not energy restraint?
- Points to remember about metals in the energy transition



METALS: A FEW DEFINITIONS

What terminology should be employed for metals? Here is a brief recap of the various concepts and major families relating to them*.

Base metals, precious metals and rare metals

Metals have not been **assigned a perfectly defined geological classification**, but they are nevertheless categorized into four major industrial families:

- **Base metals:** iron (Fe), aluminum (Al), titanium (Ti), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), tin (Sn);
- **Precious metals:** gold (Au), silver (Ag), platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), iridium (Ir), osmium (Os), rhodium (Rh), ruthenium (Ru);
- **Nuclear energy metals, referred to as actinides:** uranium (U), thorium (Th), plutonium (Pu);
- **Specialty metals:** all other metals.

Rare metals are chemical elements for which the “rarity” is estimated on the basis of their abundance in the earth’s crust.

- Abundant metals are those whose concentrations exceed 1,000 ppm (0.1%): silicon, calcium, sodium, magnesium, potassium, iron, aluminum, titanium.
- The majority of rare or scarce metals are those whose concentrations are between 1 and 1,000 ppm (lead, copper, zinc, nickel, cobalt, molybdenum, tungsten, etc.).
- Extremely rare metals are those found in concentrations of below 1 ppm and include precious metals (gold, silver and the 6 platinum-group metals – platinum, palladium, rhodium, iridium, ruthenium, osmium), as well as antimony, selenium and indium.

Rare earths


Rare earths, which should not be confused with rare metals, are defined as such due to the fact that they are **difficult to extract** rather than their geological rarity . This is a group of 16 or 17 metals with similar chemical properties. They are chemically quite reactive and have electromagnetic properties that make them essential for the manufacture of high tech products.

METALS AND RENEWABLE ENERGIES

It is widely believed that the **use of renewable energies will simplify future energy geopolitics because there are no associated competing uses**. However, [the conclusions of the ANR GENERATE project](#), conducted by IFPEN between 2017 and 2020, reveal a somewhat more complex reality.

Firstly, the current energy transition is an extremely slow process since it is **poorly defined** . In reality, for the moment, energy consumption is a more matter of **energy stacking** based on fossil energies and renewable energies rather than a switchover.

Change in primary energy consumption between 1965 and 2019 (in exajoules equivalent)



BP Statistical Review 2020

While the share of oil in the global energy mix has fallen from **49.6% to 33.1%**, its **absolute consumption volume has doubled since 1973**.

The major energy transitions throughout history

Four energy transitions have marked our history:

1. **The control of fire** 400,000 years ago;
2. 5,000 years B.C., **irrigation and animal power**;
3. At the end of the 18th century, **coal** replaced wood and the muscle strength of humans and domestic animals;
4. **A cluster of innovations** appeared during the last two decades of the 19th century. They led to the harnessing of electricity and the development of the internal combustion engine running on either gasoline or diesel.

These energy transitions are the result of an addition rather than a succession, with new primary energy sources joining pre-existing sources. This stacking has gathered momentum since the industrial revolution.

Moreover, **the drivers of the energy transition are complex**. The technical progress and energy efficiency associated with renewable energies lead to a phenomenon known as the “**rebound effect**” that, contrary to what we might believe, means that their development does not necessarily result in a decrease in resource consumption.

Did you know?

The theory of **the rebound effect** or **the energy efficiency paradox** was first expounded by the British economist William Stanley Jevons in his book entitled *The Coal Question* (1865). Having observed that the technical progress relating to the steam engine did not result in a decrease in coal consumption, he stated that while **more efficient technologies generate energy savings per unit of production, they paradoxically increase the total energy consumed**. This is because the energy efficiency effect is offset by the large-scale roll-out of the technology. The rebound effect is the focus of in-depth studies in the vehicle and housing sectors.


Let's take the examples of technological innovations, developed to support the energy transition, **which make use of these various refined ores and metals**:

- electric vehicles: cobalt, copper, lanthanum, lithium;
- fuel cells: platinum, palladium, rhodium;
- wind energy technologies: copper, neodymium, dysprosium, terbium;
- aviation: titanium;
- photovoltaic solar technologies: silicon, copper, cadmium, indium, gallium;
- batteries: lithium, cobalt, nickel.

Renewable energies are being rolled out on a massive scale to fight climate change, of course - and more particularly as a result of global decarbonization objectives in the electricity sector - numerous technological innovations (digitalization, smart grids), the determination of investors to reduce the environmental footprints of their companies and strong societal demand. In addition, the development of renewable energies goes hand in hand with **a mechanical fall in their costs**, due to their mass roll-out.

According to the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), **the cost of electricity from renewable energy technologies could continue to fall** until 2035 (by between 25% and 60% depending on the technologies).

The growth in the production of renewable energies at increasingly competitive costs brings with it a **new reliance on so-called “critical” or strategic materials**.



In this respect, the GENERATE project team wonders: is the energy transition not in fact **replacing dependence on fossil resources with another?**

WHAT IS A CRITICAL OR STRATEGIC METAL?

Criticality is an approach **based on an assessment of the risks associated with the production, use and end-of-life management of a raw material** (Graedel and Nuss, 2014). A raw material is critical when it:

- is used in numerous industrial sectors;
- is difficult to find a substitute for it in the short term;
- is required for numerous industrial applications; has considerable economic value.

Lastly, its reserves and its production are geographically concentrated.

Criticality is not a universal concept. A raw material's criticality may vary from one country to another, as it actually relates to four levels of risk, i.e., four types of criticality:

- geological: the multitude of uses of critical materials **raises concerns about shortages**;
- economic: **the existence of cartels** in the market - when many markets depend on few producers - has an impact on consumer countries;
- strategic: when reserves of materials are geographically concentrated, they can **hamper innovations** developed by some countries in strategic sectors;
- environmental: what about pollutant emissions, as well as **the energy and water consumption** associated with the production of these materials? All these issues are of major importance for the energy transition and yet still not enough is being done to address them.

The low-carbon technologies (storage, connectivity, energy efficiency, catalysis, electricity transport and production, nuclear, etc.) that global energy policies are promoting as substitutes for traditional technologies **do not consume fewer materials**. In fact, quite the opposite is true.

20 kg of copper are required to manufacture an IC vehicle, **40 kg** for a hybrid vehicle and **around 80 kg** for an electric vehicle.

In fact, the energy transition is set to continue to exert significant pressure on resources. Consequently, Emmanuel Hache and his team have defined **a model that can be used to assess the demand for materials up to 2050**.

How does it work?

The TIAM-IFPEN model (TIMES Integrated Assessment Model), a bottom-up linear programming model, was developed at IFP Energies Nouvelles (IFPEN). It is a global multi-regional model that has a rich technological basis for assessing the dynamics of the global energy system, from resource extraction to energy end-use, over a long-term period of more than 100 years. It was developed for analyzing and assessing the possible consequences of different energy, environmental or legislative orientations with an explicit and detailed representation of technologies and types of energy. Within the GENERATE project framework, we have established all the value chains of different materials (cobalt, copper, lithium, nickel and rare earths) in order to evaluate their demands under different scenarios (2°C and 4°C).

WHICH METALS ARE MOST AT RISK?

The model provides **fresh insight into the consequences of the energy transition**. It establishes various levels of pressure on the resources studied and reveals that common metals may also be exposed to considerable risks.

Copper

While economic literature had previously focused more on lithium and **rare earths**, the model highlights the fact that **copper may be the most constrained metal as the energy transition gathers pace**.

Almost 90% of currently known copper resources may have been extracted by 2050.

This forecast is based less on the consumption of copper in the electricity network and transport sector (less than one third of global consumption), and more on consumption **in the consumer goods, industrial and construction** sectors where considerable growth is expected to continue in the coming decades.

Cobalt

Cobalt is associated with a high level of geological criticality that must be relativized depending on the type of batteries used in the transport sector. **The risk to this mineral is rather geopolitical** because of its supply problems, as mining production is concentrated in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), a highly politically unstable country.

The indicator* concerning cobalt ranges in the 2°C scenario **from 64,7% to 83.2%** depending on the penetration rate of batteries with a low cobalt content.

**Ratio: Cumulative cobalt consumption / Currently known resources*

Lithium

The level of geological criticality for lithium is low since **around 70% of known resources** are likely to still be available in 2050 in a 2°C scenario.

Economic criticality for this metal is of greater concern: the concentration of lithium reserves and actors on the market, the divergent strategies of producers (Argentina, Australia, Bolivia and Chile), the low depth of the financial market and the absence of price transparency may, in the future, have a detrimental impact on lithium supplies.

5 players **control 90%** of the lithium market

Nickel

Nickel is associated with a moderate degree of geological criticality with a range from **39% to 41% of resources** still available by 2050.

All of the materials studied consume water in a context of competing uses. **The environmental criticality is high for this resource**, for all of the metals studied.

RECYCLING OF METALS, AND WHY NOT ENERGY SOBRIETY?

Within the context of the energy transition and with regard to the results relating to criticality, the GENERATE project reveals that public policies are of significant importance, particularly those promoting **sustainable mobility and recycling**. They make it possible to reduce constraints on resources and therefore require specific attention. For example, where copper is concerned, the model shows that a shift towards sustainable mobility, within the context of a 2°C scenario, would lead to **a reduction of around 20% in the consumption of this metal in the transport sector**.

A study estimates that there are **100 million** telephones (containing cobalt, copper and rare metals) stashed away in drawers in France that could potentially be recycled.

The results also show that, for all ores combined, significant pressures may be exerted on water resources, **which could in turn hamper the international energy transition**.

In light of these conclusion, [the GENERATE project](#) invites us to **think again about the energy transition** in order to move away from the current pattern of energy addition and to consider the question of restraint with respect to energy and economic systems.

In 2018, the OECD estimated the consumption of materials to be **33 kilograms per day and per person**. The organization believes that this figure could rise to **45 kilograms by 2060**.

All of these issues will have to **be incorporated into the reflection process associated with future climate negotiations**. Holding mineral resources (cobalt, copper, lithium, nickel, etc.) or patents for decarbonization technologies could represent significant assets and relevant levers for negotiation within the framework of a post-COP21 climate agreement.

Points to remember

1. **Low-carbon technologies consume more materials** than traditional technologies and the energy efficiency associated with the development of renewable energies is also accompanied by an increase in the consumption of mineral resources.
2. **The criticality of a metal is not universal** and may vary between countries, as well as over time as technology evolves.

3. According to the model, **it is not lithium, but copper that is the metal most constrained geologically** by the energy transition. Lithium is more constrained economically and cobalt geopolitically.
4. Collective and individual solutions involving **metal recycling, sustainable mobility** and, beyond these, **energy sobriety**, have their full place in the actions to be envisaged

Other economists examine the issue of the energy transition from the geopolitical angle, including David Crikemans and Daniel Scholten in Europe, and Meghan O’Sullivan in the USA.



[Our expertise](#) > [Metal recycling](#)

CONTACT



[Emmanuel HACHE](#)

Economist-Foresight, Economics and Environmental Evaluation Department, Economics and Technology Intelligence Division,



Issues and Foresight

News

March 2021

Nickel in the energy transition: why is it called the devil's metal?



Issues and Foresight


News

February 2021

Lithium in the energy transition: more than a resource issue?

Climate, environment and circular economy

Metal recycling




Issues and Foresight

News

January 2021

Rare earths in the energy transition: what threats are there for the “vitamins of




Issues and Foresight

News

December 2020

Copper in the energy transition: an essential, structural and geopolitical metal!



Issues and Foresight



News

November 2020

Cobalt in the energy transition: a closer look at supply risks



Issues and Foresight



News

June 2020

Low-carbon energy transition: what evolutions for energy geopolitics?

Press release

Climate, environment and circular economy

Life cycle analysis (LCA)

Metal recycling

Economics

Forecasting and scenario modeling

Metals in the energy transition

Link to the web page :